

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Www.uspto.gov

- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	ene are restricted to	ಲಗಿಗಳು ಕೆಯಗಳು. -		Samura Bangala pandagnah jigi biran s	an big to sapalaba	
APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/706,551		11/12/2003	Karl W. Terry	7124.024	6905	
30589	7590	12/02/2004		EXAM	IINER	
DUNLAP, O PO BOX 163		NG & ROGERS P.C.		ZIMMER, MARC S		
OKLAHOMA	A CITY	, OK 73113		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•				1712		

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<u>\</u>
	A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)	
0.55		0/706,551	TERRY ET AL.	
Office Action Sum	mary E	xaminer	Art Unit	
	M	arc S. Zimmer	1712	
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication appear	s on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addres	·s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY P THE MAILING DATE OF THIS C  - Extensions of time may be available under t after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date  - If the period for reply specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended pe Any reply received by the Office later than th earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFI	COMMUNICATION.  he provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a)  e of this communication.  than thirty (30) days, a reply with maximum statutory period will ap- priod for reply will, by statute, cause  free months after the mailing date	. In no event, however, may nin the statutory minimum of to pply and will expire SIX (6) M se the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this commur	nication.
Status				
1) Responsive to communica	tion(s) filed on 14 Octob	per 2004.		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .		ion is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in			atters, prosecution as to the mer	rite ie
closed in accordance with				
Disposition of Claims	,	, ,	,	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pendin	or in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) _	• •	rom consideration		
5) Claim(s) <u>1-22 and 24-35</u> is		rom consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) 23 is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected.				
•				
8) Claim(s) are subject	to restriction and/or ele	ection requirement.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected				
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)[_ accepte	d or b) objected to	b by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that	t any objection to the draw	ring(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s	) including the correction is	s required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	I21(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is of	ojected to by the Exami	ner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-15	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a) All b) Some * c) No			§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	e priority documents ha		Application No.	
			Application No n received in this National Stage	•
	nternational Bureau (PC		ir received iii triis ivational Stage	e
* See the attached detailed Off			t received	
a amount dominor on	ine delication direction to	o ocitinoa cobies 110	i i eceiveu.	
Attachment(s)				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		🗀 .		
2) Notice of References Cited (P10-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT</li> </ol>		5) 🔲 Notice of	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		6) 🔲 Other:	<u> </u>	
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action S	Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 200	)41201

Application/Control Number: 10/706,551

Art Unit: 1712

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sawaragi et al., U.S. Patent # 5,314,947 in view of Takeshita et al., U.S. Patent # 6,057,039 for the reasons set forth in the correspondence dated July 8, 2004.

Applicant argues the validity of the proposed combination references on the grounds that the comparative example in the Sawaragi document, which illustrates the deleterious effects of excluding the metal oxide component, teaches away from the addition of colloidal silica to their invention.

It is the Examiner's position that the Applicants have misconstrued the probative value of the comparative example. Whereas the Applicants purport that this experiment is used to illustrate the negative effects of the incorporating silica on the refractive index of the composition, the Examiner believes, instead, that it is offered only to demonstrate that the metal oxide is essential for obtaining a high refractive index although the comparative example is also useful because it indicates that the abrasion resistance of the composition is enhanced by the addition of silica while the metal oxide sometimes underperforms in this capacity. In fact, there is no evidence in the Sawaragi reference whatsoever to support the conclusion that silica has a negative impact on refractive index. The comparative example only corroborates the notion that a metal oxide is essential to obtain higher refractive indices.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,551

Art Unit: 1712

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-22 and 24-35 are now considered to be in condition for allowance.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc S. Zimmer whose telephone number is 571-272-1096. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,551

Art Unit: 1712

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

December 1, 2004

MARGARET G. MOORE

PRIMARY PATENT 1712